IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DYSON TECHNOLOGY LIMITED)
and DYSON, INC.,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.	Civil Action No. 05-434 GMS
MAYTAG CORPORATION, Defendant.	REDACTED FOR PUBLIC FILING
)

DEFENDANT HOOVER, INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE THE EXPERT TESTIMONY OF PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS JAMES WIDDOWSON AND STRIKE HIS EXPERT REPORT UNDER DAUBERT

> Kimball R. Anderson Stephen P. Durchslag Winston & Strawn LLP Chicago, IL 60601 Phone (312) 558-5600 Fax (312) 558-5700

Ray L. Weber Laura J. Gentilcore Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak, Taylor & Weber 400 First National Tower Akron, OH 44308 Phone (330) 376-1242 Fax (330) 376-9646

Francis DiGiovanni James Heisman Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP The Nemours Building 1007 N. Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19899 Phone (302) 658-9141 Fax (302) 658-5614

Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff Hoover, Inc. ("Hoover") respectfully moves this Court for an order excluding the testimony and striking the expert report of James Widdowson, an expert witness Dyson Technology Ltd. and Dyson, Inc. (collectively "Dyson").

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Dyson seeks to offer the expert testimony of Widdowson

II. ARGUMENT

Expert testimony must be "not only relevant, but reliable." Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc., 509 U.S. 579, 589 (1993); see also Fed. R. Evid. 702. To be deemed reliable, an "expert's opinion must be based on the 'methods and procedures of science' rather than on 'subjective belief or unsupported speculation." In re Paoli R.R. Yard PCB Litig., 35 F.3d 717, 742 (3d Cir. 1994). The Third Circuit has enunciated the following factors, among others, for courts to consider when evaluating the reliability of expert testimony: (1) whether a method consists of a testable hypothesis; (2) the known or potential rate of error; and (3) the existence and maintenance of standards controlling the technique's operation. Paoli, 35 F.3d at 742 n.8.

For the foregoing reasons, the test results contained in Widdowson's report are unreliable according to Rule 702, *Daubert*, and Third Circuit precedent and,

Dated: April 16, 2007

Respectfully submitted, 1

HOOVER, INC

Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
The Nemours Building
1007 N. Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19899
Phone (302) 658-9141

Fax (302) 658-5614

Kimball R. Anderson Stephen P. Durchslag Winston & Strawn LLP 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601 Phone (312) 558-5600 Fax (312) 558-5700

Ray L. Weber Laura J. Gentilcore Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak, Taylor & Weber 400 First National Tower Akron, OH 44308 Phone (330) 376-1242 Fax (330) 376-9646

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Francis DiGiovanni, hereby certify that on April 16, 2007, copies of the foregoing document were served on the following counsel of record in the manner indicated:

BY HAND DELIVERY AND E-MAIL:

C. Barr Flinn
John W. Shaw
Adam Poff
Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor LLP
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

BY UNITED STATES MAIL AND E-MAIL:

Garrard R. Beeney Richard C. Pepperman, II James T. Williams Keith McKenna Sullivan & Cromwell LLP 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004

Steven F. Reich Jeffrey S. Edelstein Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 10004

Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)